# VOLATILITY: FRIEND OR FOE? 

Bob Nichols, AAll San Diego Value Group, April 29, 2017

## Outline

- The AAll Shadow Stock portfolio.
- Two kinds of stock trades produce volatility in individual stocks and in the market.
- How volatility is measured. The Black and Scholes option-pricing model.
- Reducing portfolio volatility by diversification.
- Compounding increases stock returns and volatility increases compounding.
- My Volatility Study.
- A trial volatility portfolio.

Growth of AAll's Shadow Stock Portfolio vs Vanguard Indices. (Log scale)


Source: AAll.com
The Shadow Stock Portfolio is described in detail on the AAll.com web-site under the heading Model Portfolios

Shadow Stock selection criteria
6,466 stocks available

No penny stk
EPS >0
Value stock
Small Cap
Rising price
Omit ind.
Value stock
Listed Amer.
stocks
EPS estimate positive if
estimates
present

| Conn | ( | Field | Operator | Factor | Compare To (field, value, industry) | ) | Count On |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - |  | Price | > |  | 4 |  | 4374 |
| And |  | EPS-Continuing 12m | > |  | 0 |  | 3493 |
| And |  | EPS-Continuing Q1 | > |  | 0 |  | 3394 |
| And |  | Price/Book | < $=$ |  | 1.0 |  | 933 |
| And |  | Market Cap Q1 | >= |  | 30 |  | 4965 |
| And |  | Market Cap Q1 | $<=$ |  | 400 |  | 3288 |
| And |  | \% Rank-Rel Strength 26 week | > |  | 50 |  | 3145 |
| And |  | Sector | Not Equal |  | Financial |  | 5270 |
| And |  | Sector | Not Equal |  | Utilities |  | 6309 |
| And |  | Industry | Not Equal |  | Rental \& Leasing |  | 6431 |
| And |  | Price/Sales | < |  | 1.2 |  | 1843 |
| And |  | ADR/ADS Stock | Is False |  |  |  | 6034 |
| And |  | Exchange | Not Equal |  | Over the counter |  | 4748 |
| And | ( | EPS Est Q0 | > |  | 0 |  | 2701 |
| And |  | EPS Est Y0 | > |  | 0 |  | 3105 |
| Or |  | *EPS Est Q0, Y0 Null Composit | Not Equal |  | 2 |  | 2654 |
| < |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | insert | Move Up Dele |  | Delete All | Print How Many |  | 9 |

## Two kinds of stock trades produce two kinds of volatility

- Alpha
- Investors sell one stock and purchase another moving one stock up and another down which, over many trades, causes stocks to vary independently.
- Beta
- Investors put new money into the market to buy a stock or sell a stock to take money out of the market which, over many trades, is distributed across the market causing all stocks to move up or down. Beta trades affect all stocks, but not equally, and there is an indicator, called Beta, that measures the degree of correlation of a stock with the market.
- Alpha volatility can be diversified away, but Beta volatility affects all stocks and cannot be reduced by diversification.


[^0]

## To obtain the standard deviation of the normal-curve price-probability distribution:

I. Obtain daily closing prices for a number of days (e.g. 50 days)
2. Calculate the variance of daily log price changes: LN ( price today / price yesterday)
I. Variance is the average of squared log differences.
2. Daily log price changes are uncorrelated (serial price correlation is zero).
3. Uncorrelated variances are additive
3. So multiply the daily variance by the number of trading days until expiration to get the variance of the normalcurve price-probability distribution.
4. Take the square root of the variance to obtain the standard deviation.

$\left.$|  | Stock <br> price | I00*log <br> change | $\%$ <br> change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Diff. |
| :---: |
| $\%$-Log | \right\rvert\,

Compounding

- For bonds compounding occurs when interest is not withdrawn. For stocks compounding occurs because of changing value.
- For stocks compounding is positive if price goes up and negative if price goes down.
- Positive compounding is larger than negative compounding.
- Log Scales show the rate of return without compounding.
- Percentage scales add the compounding effect to the log scale.
- Markets always go up over the long run because of compounding.
- Degree of compounding increases as volatility increases.
- Compounding can be profitable. For example if one invests $\$ 50$ in each of two stocks and one doubles to $\$ 100$ and the other drops in half to $\$ 25$ the total is $\$ 125$, a $25 \%$ return. A $100 \%$ rise and a $50 \%$ decline are equal moves because one will reverse the other and they have equal logarithms indicating they are equally likely in a random market.

EXCE| Formulas
Log change $=$ LN(end-price / start-price)
\% change $=100 *($ end-price $/$ start-price $)$

## Comparison of Log and Percentage Scales

Log scale shows growth rate without compounding

Model Shadow Stock Portfolio on Log Scale
Growth of \$100,000


Percentage scale shows growth rate with compounding

Model Shadow Stock Portfolio
Growth of \$100,000


Source: James B. Cloonan, Investing at Level 3
The Shadow Stock Portfolio is an actual account with real money. The blue line is a $15 \%$ annual growth rate.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Risk vs. Reward } \\
12 / 29 / 1989-12 / 31 / 2013
\end{gathered}
$$



Modern Portfolio Theory defines risk as volatility, and says that investors demand higher returns from more volatile investments.

It is easy to see that this is the case for bonds, since companies with less financial strength must offer higher interest to sell their bonds. It is not so clear how investors can demand higher returns from more volatile stocks.

Another possibility is that more volatile investments benefit more from compounding.

- Plotting standard deviation against annualized return provides investors the ability to visualize the trade-off between risk and return.
- Our goal as investors is to achieve returns outside the long-term, expected return channel.
- Most investors prefer lower volatility, higher returns (i.e. upper left-hand quadrant). These are called better risk-adjusted returns.


## My Volatility Study

I have Stock Investor files for January and July of 2013,20142015 and 2016. To get volatility and price-change data for the six dates in 2013 to 2015 , I used a screen on the year-ahead data that selected about 1600 listed American companies with positive earnings, market cap $>100$ million and average daily volume $>$ than 100,000 shares. I then matched these data with the year-earlier file and got several predictor variables including market cap, z-score, etc. I then calculated volatility as the average percentage by which the monthly high exceeded the monthly low for the past I2 months. I got log and percent price changes for the following 3, 6, 9 and 12 months.

I used these data to make a pivot table for each of the six periods. There was a very strong bull market in 2013, a lesser bull market in 2014, and a flat market in 2015 . The results showed a strong relationship with 6 and I2-month price change by 12 -month volatility decile as will be shown in later slides. These values can be compared with the Totals for each measure, which also is a good indicator of the overall market change.

## Measurement of stock-price volatility

As we have seen in previous slides, volatility is best calculated as the standard deviation of daily price changes.
I do not have access to daily price changes, but AAll's Stock Investor Pro has monthly high and low prices.
I. I assume that the percentage by which the monthly high exceeds the monthly low is a good estimate of the sum of daily log differences. I use percentage in place of log because it is more easily understood.
2. I average this measure over the past 12 months as an estimate of volatility. I tried several different number of months and found that 12 months best predicted the following six-month average.
3. Thus, my volatility measure is the average percentage by which the monthly high exceeds the monthly low.

There is likely a screen on the web that will allow selection of stocks by volatility.

| Average Price Change by Volatility Decile for Six Samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volatility Decile (Low to High) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  | Volatility Decile (Low to High) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |  | I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total |
| January 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | July 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Log change 6 mo . | 8.5 | 11.2 | 12.0 | 14.4 | 15.2 | 14.3 | 15.2 | 12.4 | 17.2 | 23.0 | 14.3 | Log change 6 mo . | 3.0 | 4.1 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 2.2 | $-1.0$ | 0.6 | 0.5 | -0.9 | 1.4 |
| Log change 12 mo | 10.8 | 17.2 | 22.9 | 28.9 | 29.9 | 30.3 | 32.5 | 29.8 | 33.8 | 39.7 | 27.6 | Log change 12 mo | 2.3 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 0.7 | 5.2 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 3.4 |
| \% change 6 mo . | 9.3 | 12.4 | 13.6 | 16.4 | 17.5 | 16.7 | 17.9 | 15.9 | 23.9 | 32.9 | 17.6 | \% change 6 mo . | 3.6 | 4.9 | 4.2 | 3.5 | 1.9 | 4.3 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 3.7 |
| \% change 12 mo | 12.8 | 20.7 | 28.5 | 35.7 | 38.0 | 38.6 | 42.4 | 41.9 | 52.3 | 69.9 | 38.0 | \% change 12 mo | 3.1 | 7.1 | 5.1 | 6.4 | 5.6 | 7.8 | 5.3 | 10.3 | 9.9 | 16.6 | 7.7 |
| Number of Stocks | 170 | 167 | 168 | 167 | 168 | 167 | 168 | 167 | 168 | 166 | 1676 | Number of Stocks | 188 | 185 | 185 | 186 | 185 | 185 | 185 | 186 | 185 | 184 | 1854 |
| July 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | January 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Log change 6 mo . | 5.8 | 8.9 | 14.1 | 15.6 | 16.0 | 18.2 | 18.8 | 20.4 | 19.6 | 24.3 | 16.2 | Log change 6 mo . | -3.2 | -2.2 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 4.5 | 3.3 | 5.5 | 3.2 | 6.8 | 2.1 |
| Log change 12 mo | 10.3 | 13.3 | 15.0 | 16.0 | 16.6 | 17.2 | 18.5 | 17.5 | 16.9 | 22.5 | 16.4 | Log change 12 mo | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.8 | -0.8 | -1.9 | -1.2 | -5.7 | -2.7 | -6.7 | -2.3 | -1.9 |
| \% change 6 mo . | 6.7 | 10.3 | 16.2 | 18.0 | 18.7 | 21.4 | 22.7 | 25.1 | 25.9 | 35.8 | 20.0 | \% change 6 mo . | -2.8 | -1.4 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 5.9 | 4.8 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 14.2 | 4.1 |
| \% change 12 mo | 11.6 | 15.5 | 17.7 | 18.9 | 20.0 | 21.0 | 23.9 | 23.9 | 26.5 | 37.6 | 21.6 | \% change 12 mo | 1.0 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 2.8 | -2.1 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 9.4 | 2.3 |
| Number of Stocks | 182 | 180 | 180 | 179 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 179 | 179 | 1799 | Number of Stocks | 171 | 170 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 170 | 169 | 169 | 168 | 1693 |
| January 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | July 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Log change 6 mo. | 5.9 | 5.1 | 6.4 | 5.0 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 2.2 | 8.6 | 4.8 | Log change 6 mo . | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.7 | -3.6 | -7.5 | -8.3 | -10 | -10 | -16 | -11 | -6.7 |
| Log change 12 mo | 8.8 | 8.1 | 10.4 | 7.4 | 2.5 | 4.6 | -0.9 | 4.6 | -5.6 | -1.1 | 3.9 | Log change 12 mo | 6.5 | 5.8 | 5.1 | 2.3 | -3.3 | -5.2 | $-7.8$ | -10 | -16 | -13 | -3.8 |
| \% change 6 mo . | 6.4 | 5.8 | 7.2 | 5.8 | 4.5 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 14.3 | 6.6 | \% change 6 mo . | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | -2.1 | -5.8 | -6.2 | -7.3 | -8.8 | -12 | -4.5 | 4.2 |
| \% change I2mo | 10.0 | 9.7 | 12.1 | 9.9 | 4.8 | 7.4 | 2.5 | 9.0 | 0.3 | 8.7 | 7.4 | \% change 12 mo | 7.6 | 7.5 | 7.1 | 4.3 | -1.1 | -2.3 | -4.5 | -5.8 | -10. | -2.4 | 0.0 |
| Number of Stocks | 183 | 182 | 182 | 187 | 177 | 179 | 185 | 177 | 180 | 174 | 1806 | Number of Stocks | 165 | 173 | 174 | 174 | 173 | 174 | 171 | 173 | 173 | 172 | 1722 |


|  | Volatility Decile (Low to High) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total |
| January 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Log change 6 mo . | -2.8 | 1.7 | 5.5 | 16.5 | 13.8 | 13.5 | 22.2 | 17.5 | 22.2 | 29.3 | 19.3 |
| Log change 12 mo | -5.1 | 3.9 | 17.4 | 33.1 | 29.4 | 35.6 | 44.4 | 40.0 | 45.5 | 48.3 | 37.8 |
| \% change 6 mo. | -2.4 | 2.2 | 6.1 | 19.5 | 17.1 | 16.5 | 27.2 | 23.8 | 30.2 | 42.6 | 26.3 |
| \% change 12 mo | -3.5 | 5.7 | 21.4 | 46.9 | 39.8 | 47.9 | 60.9 | 62.2 | 71.3 | 88.4 | 60.6 |
| Number of Stocks | 17 | 12 | 17 | 13 | 15 | 30 | 30 | 49 | 63 | 91 | 337 |
| July 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Log change 6 mo. | 1.0 | 3.7 | 11.4 | 13.5 | 13.9 | 20.4 | 23.1 | 23.7 | 22.3 | 27.5 | 21.2 |
| Log change 12 mo | -2.2 | 1.3 | 12.0 | 10.9 | 9.7 | 17.3 | 17.0 | 19.3 | 16.1 | 26.7 | 17.8 |
| \% change 6 mo . | 1.7 | 4.3 | 13.0 | 16.0 | 18.1 | 24.0 | 28.7 | 29.7 | 32.4 | 43.0 | 29.5 |
| \% change 12 mo | -1.5 | 1.8 | 14.1 | 12.7 | 16.3 | 21.4 | 24.6 | 27.5 | 30.0 | 47.5 | 29.0 |
| Number of Stocks | 12 | 16 | 15 | 19 | 13 | 22 | 38 | 60 | 68 | 100 | 363 |
| January 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Log change 6 mo . | 2.7 | 1.2 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 3.0 | 4.8 | -2.1 | -0.7 | -1.9 | 12.7 | 3.5 |
| Log change 12 mo | -0.7 | $-2.3$ | 7.4 | 10.4 | 1.6 | 0.2 | -11 | 4.0 | -8.3 | 5.3 | -0.6 |
| \% change 6 mo . | 3.0 | 1.6 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 3.6 | 7.1 | -1.1 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 20.0 | 6.9 |
| \% change 12 mo | -0.3 | -1.3 | 8.6 | 23.4 | 4.6 | 3.6 | -8.3 | 8.9 | -2.7 | 15.9 | 5.3 |
| Number of Stocks | 26 | 14 | 11 | 15 | 15 | 19 | 37 | 51 | 78 | 89 | 355 |


|  | Volatility Decile (Low to High) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total |
| July 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Log change 6 mo . | -4.0 | -2.9 | -1.2 | -5.3 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 7.0 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 4.3 |
| Log change 12 mo | -1.3 | -5.0 | -4.0 | -0.5 | 3.2 | 5.6 | 1.6 | 11.2 | 10.5 | 10.8 | 7.0 |
| \% change 6 mo. | -3.4 | -2.1 | -0.8 | -0.4 | 5.0 | 7.8 | 6.4 | 9.3 | 10.5 | 13.6 | 8.4 |
| \% change 12 mo | -0.5 | -4.1 | -2.8 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 11.4 | 6.9 | 17.1 | 19.2 | 25.7 | 15.4 |
| Number of Stocks | 20 | 12 | 9 | 16 | 19 | 29 | 38 | 48 | 81 | 101 | 373 |
| January 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Log change 6 mo . | $-2.2$ | 0.4 | 3.5 | 6.4 | 8.6 | 12.2 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 11.0 | 11.8 | 8.4 |
| Log change I 2 mo | -2.0 | 3.2 | 4.8 | 13.1 | 13.8 | 6.4 | -1.8 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 5.9 | 4.6 |
| \% change 6 mo . | -2.0 | 1.0 | 4.1 | 7.5 | 10.2 | 14.7 | 8.5 | 10.3 | 14.6 | 21.1 | 12.6 |
| \% change 12 mo | -1.5 | 4.8 | 6.2 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 14.8 | 1.7 | 10.6 | 11.7 | 19.2 | 12.1 |
| Number of Stocks | 26 | 12 | 11 | 14 | 25 | 24 | 29 | 44 | 65 | 91 | 341 |
| July 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Log change 6 mo . | 2.9 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 8.6 | -1.5 | -0.7 | -4.6 | -4.0 | -12 | -9.2 | -4.9 |
| Log change 12 mo | 5.2 | 8.0 | 12.4 | 10.8 | 2.0 | 0.2 | -3.9 | 3.6 | -11 | -7.9 | -2.2 |
| \% change 6 mo . | 3.6 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 9.6 | -0.7 | 0.1 | -1.5 | -1.4 | -9.0 | 0.5 | -0.7 |
| \% change 12 mo | 6.1 | 10.2 | 14.2 | 12.5 | 3.2 | 2.5 | -0.9 | 8.0 | -3.7 | 4.5 | 3.9 |
| Number of Stocks | 27 | 18 | 13 | 17 | 22 | 19 | 34 | 38 | 62 | 96 | 346 |


| Comparison of the Top-three Volatility Deciles for Total Sample and Low Market Cap Stocks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Sample Volatility Deciles |  |  |  | Lowest 20\% Market Cap Volatility Deciles |  |  |  |  | Total Sample Volatility Deciles |  |  |  | Lowest 20\% Market Cap Volatility Deciles |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total |  | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total | 8 | 9 | 10 | Total |
| January 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | July 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Log change 6 mo . | 12.4 | 17.2 | 23.0 | 14.3 | 17.5 | 22.2 | 29.3 | 19.3 | Log change 6 mo . | 0.6 | 0.5 | -0.9 | 1.4 | 7.0 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 4.3 |
| Log change I2mo | 29.8 | 33.8 | 39.7 | 27.6 | 40.0 | 45.5 | 48.3 | 37.8 | Log change 12mo | 5.2 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 3.4 | 11.2 | 10.5 | 10.8 | 7.0 |
| \% change 6 mo . | 15.9 | 23.9 | 32.9 | 17.6 | 23.8 | 30.2 | 42.6 | 26.3 | \% change 6 mo . | 3.2 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 9.3 | 10.5 | 13.6 | 8.4 |
| \% change 12 mo | 41.9 | 52.3 | 69.9 | 38.0 | 62.2 | 71.3 | 88.4 | 60.6 | \% change 12 mo | 10.3 | 9.9 | 16.6 | 7.7 | 17.1 | 19.2 | 25.7 | 15.4 |
| Number of Stocks | 167 | 168 | 166 | 1676 | 49 | 63 | 91 | 337 | Number of Stocks | 186 | 185 | 184 | 1854 | 48 | 81 | 101 | 373 |
| July 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | January 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Log change 6 mo . | 20.4 | 19.6 | 24.3 | 16.2 | 23.7 | 22.3 | 27.5 | 21.2 | Log change 6 mo . | 5.5 | 3.2 | 6.8 | 2.1 | 6.9 | 11.0 | 11.8 | 8.4 |
| Log change 12 mo | 17.5 | 16.9 | 22.5 | 16.4 | 19.3 | 16.1 | 26.7 | 17.8 | Log change 12 mo | -2.7 | -6.7 | -2.3 | -1.9 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 5.9 | 4.6 |
| \% change 6 mo . | 25.1 | 25.9 | 35.8 | 20.0 | 29.7 | 32.4 | 43.0 | 29.5 | \% change 6 mo. | 7.6 | 6.8 | 14.2 | 4.1 | 10.3 | 14.6 | 21.1 | 12.6 |
| \% change 12 mo | 23.9 | 26.5 | 37.6 | 21.6 | 27.5 | 30.0 | 47.5 | 29.0 | \% change I2mo | 2.3 | 1.6 | 9.4 | 2.3 | 10.6 | 11.7 | 19.2 | 12.1 |
| Number of Stocks | 180 | 179 | 179 | 1799 | 60 | 68 | 100 | 363 | Number of Stocks | 169 | 169 | 168 | 1693 | 44 | 65 | 91 | 341 |
| January 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | July 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Log change 6 mo . | 3.3 | 2.2 | 8.6 | 4.8 | -0.7 | -1.9 | 12.7 | 3.5 | Log change 6 mo . | -10 | -16 | -11 | -6.7 | -4.0 | - 12 | -9.2 | -4.9 |
| Log change 12 mo | 4.6 | -5.6 | - 1.1 | 3.9 | 4.0 | -8.3 | 5.3 | -0.6 | Log change 12 mo | -10 | -16 | -13 | -3.8 | 3.6 | -11 | -7.9 | -2.2 |
| \% change 6 mo . | 5.6 | 5.5 | 14.3 | 6.6 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 20.0 | 6.9 | \% change 6 mo . | -8.8 | -12 | -4.5 | 4.2 | -1.4 | -9.0 | 0.5 | -0.7 |
| \% change 12 mo | 9.0 | 0.3 | 8.7 | 7.4 | 8.9 | -2.7 | 15.9 | 5.3 | \% change 12 mo | -5.8 | -10. | -2.4 | 0.0 | 8.0 | -3.7 | 4.5 | 3.9 |
| Number of Stocks | 177 | 180 | 174 | 1806 | 51 | 78 | 89 | 355 | Number of Stocks | 173 | 173 | 172 | 1722 | 38 | 62 | 96 | 346 |

Performance of the 20 most-volatile stocks in six samples 20 most volatile stocks

3 mo. $\quad 6 \mathrm{mo} . \quad 9 \mathrm{mo} . \quad 12 \mathrm{mo}$.

|  |  | mo. | 6 | , |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 Jan | Log change | 24.1 | 42.2 | 58.5 | 74.4 |
| 2013 Jan | \% change | 31.8 | 58.1 | 109.7 | 168.2 |
| 2013 July | Log change | 27.1 | 45.1 | 46.9 | 42.0 |
| 2013 July | \% change | 41.2 | 81.2 | 83.4 | 71.3 |
| 2014 Jan | Log change | 13.7 | 19.5 | 8.8 | 10.8 |
| 2014 Jan | \% change | 21.6 | 27.2 | 16.0 | 22.3 |
| 2014 July | Log change | - 12.5 | -0.2 | 7.6 | 8.7 |
| 2014 July | \% change | -7.1 | 9.6 | 20.9 | 28.0 |
| 2015 Jan | Log change | 17.8 | 31.6 | 0.3 | 22.1 |
| 2015 Jan | \% change | 23.7 | 52.1 | 19.1 | 54.3 |
| 2015 July | Log change | - 88.7 | -14.3 | -15.7 | -10.1 |
| 2015 July | \% change | - 14.2 | 4.3 | 0.9 | 10.4 |


| Average | Log change | 8.6 | 20.7 | 17.7 | 24.6 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average | \% change | 16.2 | 38.7 | 41.7 | 59.1 |

Comparison of volatile stocks with total sample

|  |  | 20 Most Vol. |  | Total Sample |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 6 mo. | 12 mo | 6 mo. | 12 mo |
|  |  | 42.2 | 74.4 | 14.3 | 27.6 |
| 2013 Jan | Log change | 48.1 | 168.2 | 17.6 | 38 |
| 2013 Jan | \% change | 5.6 |  |  |  |
| 2013 July | Log change | 45.1 | 42 | 16.2 | 16.4 |
| 2013 July | \% change | 81.2 | 71.3 | 20 | 21.6 |
| 2014 Jan | Log change | 19.5 | 10.8 | 4.8 | 3.9 |
| 2014 Jan | \% change | 27.2 | 22.3 | 6.6 | 7.4 |
| 2014 July | Log change | -0.2 | 8.7 | 1.4 | 3.4 |
| 2014 July | \% change | 9.6 | 28 | 3.7 | 7.7 |
| 2015 Jan | Log change | 31.6 | 22.1 | 2.1 | -1.9 |
| 2015 Jan | \% change | 52.1 | 54.3 | 4.1 | 2.3 |
| 2015 July | Log change | -14.3 | -10.1 | -6.7 | -3.8 |
| 2015 July | \% change | 4.3 | 10.4 | 4.2 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average | Log change | 20.7 | 24.6 | 5.4 | 7.6 |
| Average | \% change | 38.7 | 59.1 | 9.4 | 12.8 |

Trial Portfolio After Seven months


## Bi-monthly trial portfolio performance for six months

| Date | Mos. held | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ann G/L } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | G/L \% | $\underset{L}{\log G /}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Log PE } \\ \text { Ch } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Log} \\ & \text { EPS Ch } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \log \\ \text { S\&P Ch } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9/16/16 | 0.0 | 0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9/23/16 | 0.2 | 224\% | 4.2\% | 4.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10/17/16 | 1.0 | 13\% | 1.1\% | 0.8 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 11/12/16 | 1.8 | 89\% | 13.8\% | 10.1 | 2.6 | 9.7 | I. 1 |
| 11/25/16 | 2.3 | 111\% | 21.9\% | 15.7 | 20.0 | 1.1 | 3.4 |
| 12/9/16 | 2.8 | 114\% | 26.4\% | 17.9 | 20.1 | 1.9 | 3.4 |
| 12/23/16 | 3.6 | 73\% | 22.0\% | 10.8 | 13.4 | 1.9 | 3.4 |
| 1/6/17 | 3.8 | 88\% | 27.9\% | 14.5 | 17.0 | 1.9 | 5.9 |
| 2/17/17 | 5.1 | 67\% | 28.5\% | 12.0 | 18.1 | 2.4 | 9.4 |
| 3/3/17 | 5.6 | 45\% | 20.7\% | 6.8 | 14.4 | 2.4 | 10.8 |
| 3/10/17 | 5.9 | 35\% | 16.9\% | 3.3 | 10.0 | 1.9 | 10.3 |

## Takeaway Points

- Value investors can follow the AAll Shadow-Stock portfolio.
- Exceptional historical return. The AAll web site has detailed instructions. Easy (changes made only quarterly).
- There is strong evidence that daily log changes of stock prices are random, normally distributed, and differ in volatility.
- Stocks with small market-capitalization tend to be more volatile than stocks with large market-capitalization.
- Stock prices compound as prices change, and price increases produce more compounding than do price declines.
- This difference in compounding can produce portfolio gains as some holdings go up and others go down.
- This may be the reason that all market averages tend to go up over the long term.
- Volatile stocks tend to benefit more from compounding than do less volatile stocks.
- Stock-price volatility is measured as the anualized standard-deviation of daily log changes over a number of days.
- The I2-month average percentage by which the monthly high exceeds the monthly low is a good estimate.
- The top $10 \%$ on volatility have average monthly high more than $30 \%$ above the monthly low.
- Bob's study suggests that small-cap, volatile stocks have exceptional returns, more-so when the market is up.
- This result needs additional testing, which is underway.


[^0]:    Source: James B. Cloonan, Investing at Level 3

